WO

# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED	STATES	OF AMERICA
--------	--------	------------

•	

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Daniel Roman			niel Roman	Case Number: <u>CR 08-450-PHX-DGC</u>	
	cordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts le.)	
×	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger pending trial in this case.			endant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant	
	this case.				
			P	ART I FINDINGS OF FACT	
	(1)	There	is probable cause to believe	e that the defendant has committed	
			an offense for which a ma 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or	ximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ r 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.	
			an offense under 18 U.S.C	C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).	
			an offense listed in 18 U.S imprisonment of ten years	S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of or more is prescribed.	
			an offense involving a min	or victim prescribed in1	
	(2)	The condi	defendant has not rebutted t tions will reasonably assure t	the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.	
				Alternative Findings	
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably ass the appearance of the defendant as required.			
X	(2)	No co	ondition or combination of cor	nditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.	
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidar a prospective witness or juror).			
	(4)				
				STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
				(Check one or both, as applicable.)	
	(1)	as to <u>In lig</u> Defer	danger that:  tht of the instant allegations	d information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence and Defendant's record of failing to comply with Court supervision as well as abuse there is no condition short of detention which would reasonably assure the	

Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnapping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

### Case 2:08-cr-00450-DGC Document 7 Filed 05/16/08 Page 2 of 2

$\boxtimes$	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
×	Defen	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:  dant through counsel does dispute the criminal history reported in the Pretrial Services report. The Court will grant to Defendant to reopen the issue of detention to present information at variance with the Pretrial Services Report.
⊠	of the intervience of the calls in	ition:  blained on the record, Defendant's track record of failing to comply with conditions calls into serious question the ability Court to release Defendant on conditions. Also, the Defendant's affirmative statement during his Pretrial Services but with the does not abuse illegal substances – a statement at odds with the presumptively positive test for marijuana - to question his veracity. The same statement made by Defendant's spouse precludes her consideration as a possible arty custodian.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

#### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 15<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2008.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge